BUSINESS REPORT

MONTANA SENATE 61st LEGISLATURE - REGULAR SESSION

SENATE ENERGY AND TELECOMMUNICATIONS COMMITTEE

Date: Thursday, Ja Place: Capitol	nuary 15,	2009			3:00 pm 317-A
BILLS and RESOLUTI Prefix (HB, HR, HJR, SB,			Add Postponed	(PP) whe	en appropriate:
SB 66					
EXECUTIVE ACTION T Prefix (HB, HR, HJR, SB, amended) BC(be concurred	SR, or SJR) ar			failed) D	PAA (do pass as
				-	· .
COMMENTS:					
			SEN. Jerry	1 200	ach ack, Chairman

MONTANA STATE SENATE Roll Call ENERGY & TELECOMMUNICATIONS COMMITTEE

DATE: 1-15-2009

NAME	PRESENT	ABSENT/ EXCUSED
SENATOR JERRY BLACK, CHAIR	V	
SENATOR AUBYN CURTISS, VICE CHAIR	V	
SENATOR ROY BROWN		
SENATOR RON ERICKSON		
SENATOR JOHN ESP		
SENATOR KELLY GEBHARDT		
SENATOR BOB HAWKS		
SENATOR DAVE LEWIS		
SENATOR LYNDA MOSS		
SENATOR SHARON STEWART-PEREGOY	V ,	
SENATOR MITCH TROPILA		
		.,

MONTANA STATE SENATE Visitors Register

Energy & Telecommunications Committee		Date 1-15-2009		
Bill No. <u>S8 66</u>	Sponsor(s) Senator	Erickson		
PLEASE PRINT	PLEASE PRINT	PLEASE PRINT		

Name and Address	Representing	Support	Oppose	Inf.
EDWN BEARY, KALISPELL	CLIMATE PHYSICS, LIC			
Anne Hedges	MEIL	×		
Kevin Dah	Gaelectric			<i>入</i>
ROSER HACORN	SELF		X	
Daniel Watson	festigne centy			
Les BERRY	DWP		X	
Jon Metron	Fidel: 14 Exp		×	
Bethkaden	Northern Plains	λ		
Mark Lembroch +	PPL Montana		X	
John Finaparanell	Noighause Freiz-		X	
CANDA Ca Tayre	Souther Mate Electrication		X.	
HALEY BEAUDRY	CFA		×	
Jon Bennion	Mt Chamber		4	
Sarah Cobler	Montana Conservation Va	as x		
Janet Ellis	MT Audulson	X		
Dave Galt	MA		×	
Jorry Andrson	Encore		X	
Jom Richmond	M BOGC			X

Please leave prepared testimony with Secretary. Witness Statement forms are available if you care to submit written testimony.

Statement of PPL Montana
Before the Natural Resources Committee
Of the Montana Senate
In Opposition to Senate Bill No. 66
January 15, 2009

Mark Lambrecht, Manager, Regulatory Affairs 208 N. Montana Avenue, Ste. 204 Helena, Montana 59601 (406) 422-1092

Mr. Chairman and members of the Committee:

I'm Mark Lambrecht, Manager of Regulatory Affairs for PPL Montana—part-owner and operator of the Colstrip Steam Electric Station and owner/operator of the J.E. Corette Steam Electric Station in Billings and eleven hydroelectric facilities in Montana.

As a major energy producer, PPL Montana recognizes the responsibility to address climate change in a reasoned and informed way. PPL Montana is active in the search for new technologies that can lower greenhouse gas emissions and allow for the continued use of coal.

PPL Montana, recognizing its responsibility as a power generator, has participated in a number of efforts that have helped us better understand how to respond to potential state and federal climate change policies that will require reductions in carbon emissions.

- We were one of the first companies to join the U.S. Department of Energy's Big Sky Carbon Sequestration Partnership at Montana State University in Bozeman.
- We were original supporters of the Low-Carbon Economy Act of 2007, which would have created a market-based cap-and-trade system to reduce carbon dioxide emissions.
- We are an industry sponsor of a CO2 Capture Demonstration Project at the University of North Dakota which will test the feasibility of several CO2 capture technologies.
- I represented PPL Montana as a member of the Governor's Climate Change Advisory Committee and helped develop 54 recommendations for reducing greenhouse gas emissions in the state; and
- I now represent PPL Montana as a member of the technical advisory panel of the Climate Registry—a greenhouse gas reporting protocol that is being developed for the western region.

Our participation in these efforts and our investigation of technologies has led us to the following conclusion: none of the carbon capture and sequestration technologies being tested right now have been proven on a commercial basis and any claims about their feasibility are based on pilot plant studies.

Beyond technical feasibility, liability is perhaps the most significant challenge to overcome in geologic sequestration. We do not know at this time whether or not pumping large volumes of compressed carbon dioxide thousands of feet below the surface of the Earth into geologic reservoirs will itself become an environmental liability. With any such technology solution we would have to be assured that the carbon dioxide could not find its way back to the surface or cause other unintended consequences, such as contaminating other resources.

Property rights issues are another hurdle to geologic sequestration. Montana has split estates—meaning one piece of property may have different surface rights and mineral rights owners.

Senate Bill 66 addresses these issues by borrowing concepts from a draft rule considered by the Montana Legislature's Energy and Telecommunications Interim Committee last summer. Like Senate Bill 66, the draft rule would have assigned ownership of the pore space of a geologic reservoir to the surface rights owner and liability for stored carbon dioxide with the injector. However, the draft rule would have assigned primary regulatory authority for carbon sequestration to the Montana Board of Oil and Gas Conservation (where it belongs) rather than the Montana Board of Environmental Review. We may be best served by waiting until the feasibility of carbon capture and sequestration has been commercially proven before we assign such broad authority.

Senate Bill 66 rightly—but redundantly—assigns ownership of pore space to the surface owner. Montana statutes already clearly provide such property rights to surface owners.

The bill also requires the sequestration permit holder (or injector) to carry liability insurance for the lifetime of the well's operation and 75 years following its closure and a "reasonable bond" to guarantee the effectiveness of the sequestration well. These two conditions address important considerations, but stop short of providing direction on two questions that may keep companies from investing in carbon sequestration. First, who will be liable for the well 75 years after its closure? Will the State of Montana assume liability at that point? Second, what constitutes a "reasonable bond?" At this point, we don't know what costs could be involved if carbon dioxide escapes or pollutes other resources.

Until these issues are addressed by the federal government and the states, progress on carbon capture and storage in Montana and elsewhere will be slow. National standards are needed to address these issues to provide consistency and regulatory certainty for energy companies and uniform environmental protection for the public.

For these reasons, I ask that you give this legislation a "do not pass" recommendation.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.